

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/025,879 | 12/26/2001 | Hyung Cheol Moon | P-0290 | 9272 | |
| 34610 FLESHNER & | 7590 01/26/ KIM LLP | 2007 | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 221 | 200 | | GREY, CHRISTOPHER P | | |
| CHANTILLY | , VA 20153 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2616 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1-4 |
|---|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/025,879 | MOON, HYUNG CI | HEOL |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Christopher P. Grey | 2616 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprocessing time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | • | a final raigation, whichous | orie lator In no |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that | | | er is iater. In no |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | RST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee ature of the fee atured for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f. will not be entered | because |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | • • • • | amanliant Amandmant | (DTOL 224) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | omphant Amendment | . (F10L-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | nent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | · | |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | V |
| | | CHAU NGUYEN | |

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

The applicant argues that the cited references do not disclose the applicants claimed, "wherein the increased second transmission power is calculated based on the first transmission power used by the first station in the first packet data transmission to the second station, a controlled amount of transmission power by the second station, a changed amount of power received at the first station, and a channel compensating value received from the second station".

The examiner maintains that the claimed limitation is addressed with the disclosure of Derryberry, wherein Derryberry discloses increasing or decreasing the transmit power of a mobile station (Col 10 line 38-39 and Col 10 lines 46-47), where the increase or decrease is based on the initial (first) transmission power. Derryberry also discloses a threshold (controlled power) where a measused received power is compared (Col 10 lines 9-12). Derryberry discloses a power control command including a parameter for the increase or decrease of a transmit power (Col 10 lines 36-40), where a changed amount of power and compensating value are not defined within the claim and can be interpretted broadly as the same value or dependents of one another.

C. M. 1/24/017